



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/013,543	02/04/93	SA10	

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
277 PARK AVENUE
NEW YORK, NY 10172

EXAMINER
SHEPATA, M
ART UNIT
PAPER NUMBER
27

2601
DATE MAILED: 11/17/94

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

- ☐ This application has been examined ☒ Responsive to communication filed on 8/11/94 ☒ This action is made final.
- A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), — days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

- ☒ Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.
- ☐ Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.
- ☐ Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.
- ☐ Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152.
- ☐

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

- ☒ Claims 1, 3-6, 9-17 are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims 2, 7, 8 are withdrawn from consideration.
1, 3-6, 9-17 have been cancelled.
- ☒ Claims 2, 7, 8 are allowed.
- ☐ Claims 1, 3-6, 9-17 are rejected.
- ☒ Claims 1, 3-6, 9-17 are objected to.
- ☐ Claims 1, 3-6, 9-17 are subject to restriction or election requirement.
- ☐ This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.
- ☐ Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
- ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on 8/11/94. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).
- ☐ The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on 8/11/94, has (have) been ☐ approved by the examiner; ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
- ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed 8/11/94, has been ☐ approved; ☐ disapproved (see explanation).
- ☒ Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has ☐ been received ☒ not been received ☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. 08/013,543; filed on 02/04/93.
- ☐ Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
- ☐ Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION